## **EP CASE REPORT**

## Electrical injury-triggered ventricular arrhythmia in a patient with a pacemaker: highlighting the importance of cardiac monitoring

Victor Waldmann (b) 1, Kumar Narayanan 1,2, and Eloi Marijon (b) 1\*

A 46-year-old man with a history of Hodgkin lymphoma treated with chemotherapy and radiotherapy in 2003 had dual-chamber pacemaker implantation in December 2018, for recurrent episodes of syncope with documented paroxysmal complete atrioventricular block. Left ventricular ejection fraction was normal, and no other anomaly was observed on echocardiography.

One month after pacemaker implantation, the patient presented with an electrical shock while using his hairdryer followed by a brief loss of consciousness. He immediately stopped using his hairdryer but did not seek urgent medical attention and had a pacemaker interrogation 3 weeks later. Multiple episodes (n = 16) of non-sustained polymorphic ventricular tachycardia were recorded by the pacemaker in the hours following electrical injury (Figure 1A), the last one occurring 4 h after the event. The duration of the longest episode was 7s, with the shortest cycle length around 200 ms (300 b.p.m.) that terminated spontaneously (Figure 1B). After discussion, considering that these episodes were most likely triggered by the electrical injury, a course of simple surveillance with telemonitoring was decided upon. After 1 year of followup, the patient had no recurrence of arrhythmia.

In apparently healthy patients after electrical injuries, the main feared risk, albeit rare, is sudden

Туре	ATP Seq	Success	ID#	Date	Time hh:mm	Duration hh:mm:ss	Avg bpm A/V	0
VT-NS			1021	23-Jan-2019	22:38	:01	63/200	
VT-NS				23-Jan-2019		<:01	62/231	
VT-NS				23-Jan-2019		<:01	126/245	
VT-NS				23-Jan-2019	100	:03	126/226	
VT-NS				23-Jan-2019		:01	124/222	
VT-NS				23-Jan-2019		:01	120/218	
VT-NS				23-Jan-2019		:01	118/214	
VT-NS				23-Jan-2019		:01	126/250	
11.7			1000		The second second second		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	
VT-NS				23-Jan-2019		:01	128/207	
VT-NS				23-Jan-2019		<:01	125/245	MIN TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY O
VT-NS				23-Jan-2019		:02	120/224	
VT-NS				23-Jan-2019		<:01	113/240	TO THE PARTY OF TH
VT-Mon				23-Jan-2019		:07	87/133	
VT-NS				23-Jan-2019		<:01	/279	
VT-NS				23-Jan-2019		:02	81/286	
VT-NS			987	23-Jan-2019	18:23	:01	133/245	7
	1 1	1 1 1	:		1 1 1		111	
					1-1-1:			
سنست	Juna.	N. V.	1 w	with him	كنز المهامية	1. W. W. V.	W WWW	
			Y					.ijfiiiiXij
(		rdyddd	······································		<u> </u>			
		1						
البير الجر	red 1	المراجر المراج	4,5-4	my had my	1.00	white project	migretin	الملاكن والمناز المتراجية والمتراجية المراجية المراجية
VV	į.Vį	VV.		4 4 4	.V			
			j					
7								
30		ů :	3	Ď		Č .	3470	j j 1460
	P	6		6		6		
V V	v	V V V	Ų	4 4 4	¥ ¥ ¥	, y	ų į	
1 2	2 2	2 2	4	2 2 1 2	2 2	4	2 2	2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
0 0	a a	0 10	0	0 0 0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0
1								
							[]]	
M. rome	المسا		10.	march .		Λ	L	A
. A	Y	Vi i i	1 W	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1 1 1		110	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	1 1	1 1 1					1 1 1	
Maria	N	MM	JK K	L.N	1 1	\		U I I A I I INI I I K I
	1.7		1	and in				
1	1	7		7		7		
		3		8	Ö.	8		
b .	R		R	R	Š	\$	\$	\$ \$ \$
4 4	1	,	1	V V	· ·	Į.	1	V V
2 2	2 2	2 2 2 2	2 5	2 2 6 3	7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7 P	5 6 6 6 5 8 6 7
33	0: 0:	0: 0 0	5	.63 0 0	. <u>f</u>			5 5 6 6 6

**Figure 1** Illustration from 'Elektroschutz in 132 Bildern', with the kind permission of Dr Jellinek. The syncope is likely related to the longest episode (7 s) with shortest cycle length around 200 ms (300 b.p.m.). The mean ventricular rate of 133 b.p.m. presented in the pacemaker interrogation summary corresponding to this episode is due to transient ventricular undersensing.

death. This case perfectly illustrates how electrical injuries may trigger potentially life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias with a clear

Published on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. All rights reserved. © The Author(s) 2020. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Cardiac Electrophysiology Section, Department of Cardiology, European Georges Pompidou Hospital, 20-40 Rue Leblanc 75908 Paris Cedex 15, France; and <sup>2</sup>Medicover Hospitals, Hyderabad, India

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel: +33662833848. E-mail address: eloi\_marijon@yahoo.fr

temporal link. Although the level of evidence is low,  $24\,h$  cardiac monitoring is recommended in patients with (i) documented arrhythmias or other electrocardiographic anomalies, (ii) high-voltage injury  $\geq 1000\,V$ , (iii) significant troponin release, and/or (iv) loss of consciousness. Other patients can be discharged home from the emergency room in the absence of extracardiac injuries requiring hospitalization. The frequency of 50–60 Hz, used in most household electrical sources, increases the likelihood of cardiac exposure during the vulnerable phase of the cardiac cycle. Post-injury stress/sympathetic activation may also play a role in triggering an arrhythmia. Although it is commonly thought that the vast majority of life-threatening events occur immediately after an electric shock, delayed ventricular arrhythmias have been reported (up to  $12\,h$  after the incident, with low as well as high-voltage shocks).

Conflict of interest: none declared.

## References

- 1. Waldmann V, Narayanan K, Combes N, Jost D, Jouven X, Marijon E. Electrical cardiac injuries: current concepts and management. Eur Heart J 2018;39:1459-65.
- 2. Waldmann V, Narayanan K, Combes N, Marijon E. Electrical injury. BMJ. 2017.
- 3. Jensen PJ, Thomsen PE, Bagger JP, Nørgaard A, Baandrup U. Electrical injury causing ventricular arrhythmias. Br Heart J 1987;57:279-83.